



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/613,016	07/10/2000	Wei-Lien Hsu	5500-59700	9544
7590 01/22/2004			EXAMIN	NER
B Noel Kivlin			MAI, TAN V	
Conley Rose & P O Box 398	Tayon PC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 7	8767-0398		2124	0
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	4 9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ma				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/613,016	HSU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tan V Mai	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by such and the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{C}$	<u> 7 November 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers	Tayor orocaon roquiromana					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence of the sentence was included in the first sentence of the sentence was included in the first sentence of the sentence was included in the first sentence of the sentence was included in the first sentence of the sentence was included in the first sentence of the sentence was included in the first sentence of the sentence of th	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been rereau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). I list of the certified copies not restic priority under 35 U.S.C. § e first sentence of the specifical provisional application has be nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	pplication No received in this National Stage eceived. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ition or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/613,016

Art Unit: 2124

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 09/613,015. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because scopes of the invention are the same. It is noted that the terms "two-dimensional transform" of (Application No. 09/613,015) is covered the term "two-dimensional inverse discrete cosine transform" of instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 2. Due to the new grounds of rejection cited above, that the office action is NON-FINAL.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the recorded references do NOT teach or suggest the two-dimensional transform method/device having the "grouping together respective elements from the multiple coefficient

Application/Control Number: 09/613,016 Page 3

Art Unit: 2124

blocks to produce one block of 2D coefficient vectors" feature as recited in independent claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final (703) 746-7238

Official (703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINEF